

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/757,347	Applicant(s) HUEBNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) James E. Austin {Reg. No. 39,489}.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2006
Time: 12pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative @12pm and left a voice mail message requesting permission to correct the spelling of "loweralkylaminocarbonyl" in claim 6; and delete one of the two "loweralkylsulfinyl" groups in claim 11. Mr. Austin returned the Examiner's phone call @2:26pm and gave the Examiner permission to make the changes by Examiner's Amendment.


8/22/06